arXiv:1609.04290v1 [math.AG] 14 Sep 2016

A Spectral "Schematization" of Homotopy Types

Renaud Gauthier *

September 15, 2016

Abstract

Toen has interpreted the schematization problem as originally imagined by Grothendieck ([G]) in such a way that solution(s) to this problem could be given. As he pointed out, there are many solutions available, and in [T1], [T2], [T3] he gave two constructions solving this problem. What we do in the present work is reconsider what Grothendieck initially had in mind and develop a formalism that provides a concept of "schematization" and corresponding homotopy groups of "schematized" homotopy types. In our view this can be realized if generalizing topological spaces to symmetric spectra, and homotopy groups would be provided by a stack over the opposite category of brave new rings, representable by $\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{S}$, $\mathbb{S}$ the sphere spectrum.

*rg.mathematics@gmail.com

1 Introduction

It is important to note that the present work is in no way an addendum, nor does it supplant Toen's work on the schematization of homotopy types, which is very complete. Our aim is modest; we wanted to find an object that would correspond to a schematization of homotopy types, and an accompanying notion of homotopy groups, starting from very simple considerations. In doing so we arrived at a notion of homotopy groups of objects in a comma category, generalizing the classical homotopy groups of spaces, something that we found to be of interest to algebraic topologists, but not entirely needed in a schematization proper. So for the sake of that part only, we divided this paper into two parts to emphasize that they are fairly distinct, the first one being about that generalization, the second one being about a discussion of a schematization of homotopy types.

What Grothendieck started with is the observation in [G] that homotopy types are essentially discrete structures, so one may as well consider, say, a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module M of finite type. It gives rise to a vector bundle over $S_0 = \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$ which he denoted by $W(M)$, whose $\mathbb{Z}$-module of sections is M itself. The functor $M \mapsto W(M)$ is fully faithful. Then he considered any $\mathbb{Z}$-module M, i.e., an abelian group. In that case our vector bundle should be defined as $W(M) : k \mapsto M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k$ a functor on the category of commutative $\mathbb{Z}$-algebras, still very close to a vector bundle. That functor $M \mapsto W(M)$ is still faithful. This is this structure Grothendieck pulled back to spaces via torsors. What he considered then is an auxiliary category $U(k)$ of unipotent bundles over k, not quite schemes over k. They are defined as functors k-CAlg $= (k\text{-Aff})^{\mathrm{op}} \to$ Set, i.e. presheaves on k-Aff. If we denote by τ the fpqc topology on k-Aff, then we consider the model category of sheaves on k-Aff that Toen denotes by $k\text{-Aff}^{\sim,\tau}$, and we're looking for a sub-model category:

$$U(k) \subset k\text{-Aff}^{\sim,\tau} \subset \widehat{k\text{-Aff}}$$

Grothendieck defined $U(k)$ as the union of an increasing sequence of subcategories $U_n(k)$. He defined $U_{n+1}(k)$ as follows: for any k-module M, the corresponding vector bundle is defined via $W(M)(k') = M \otimes_k k'$, and $X_{n+1} \in U_{n+1}(k)$ if and only if there is some $X_n \in U_n(k)$ and some k-module M such that X_{n+1} is isomorphic to a torsor over X_n with group $W(M)$. In other terms, the initial embedding of a discrete structure into the category

of schemes, or vector bundles as he saw them, this is pulled back to $U(k)$, thereby endowing it with the flavor of a schematic object being an embedding of a discrete structure. Later in his same work Grothendieck will observe that the modules M have to be projective.

We have a sections functor $U(k) \to \mathrm{Set} : X \mapsto X(k)$ that induces a map:

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}, U(k)) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Set})$$

Grothendieck initially argued that since the right hand side should modelize homotopy types, then we would have a notion of weak equivalence for simplicial objects in $U(k)$, hence a corresponding homotopy category. More specifically, he considered a subcategory $\mathcal{M}(k)$ of $U(k)$ whose objects have homotopy groups endowed with a k-module structure, something that he will drop later, but nevertheless the idea remains: one should aim for a subcategory of $sU(k)$ in a sense to be precised. Then the notion of weak equivalence we have above should lead to a homotopy category $Hot_n(k)$ of n-connected schematic homotopy types over k, homotopy types because of a yet to be precised notion of weak equivalence, schematic because objects in $U(k)$ have the flavor of schemes insofar as they are torsors with groups $W(M)$ that initially were viewed as scheme-like objects, embeddings of discrete, homotopy type objects in the category of schemes over a certain base. This is the picture we have to keep in mind. Much of the work Grothendieck did from there revolved around defining a proper notion of homotopy. The first hint that this preliminary picture may not work comes from the very definition of $\mathcal{M}(k)$; how could one make homotopy groups endowed with a non-trivial k-module structure? Looking elsewhere, Grothendieck pointed out that we do have a classical abelianization functor for homotopy types $Hot_n \to D_\cdot(Ab)$. One should then have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Hot_n(k) & \longrightarrow & D_\cdot(Ab) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Hot_n & \longrightarrow & D_\cdot(Ab) \end{array}$$

hence we need an abelianization, or linearization functor $Hot_n(k) \to D_\cdot(Ab)$, coming from a localization of a functor $\mathcal{M}_n(k) \to ch_\cdot(Ab_k)$. It is at this point that Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces make their appearance, essentially as linearized, schematic homotopy types. Ultimately, Grothendieck was aiming

for a k-linearization functor $L : U(k) \to Ab_k, X \simeq W(M) \mapsto L(X)$. Defining $L(X)$ necessitates taking completions, something he wanted to avoid, so he considered pointed homotopy types instead, something that is quite natural. Hence he considered a category $U(k)^{\cdot}$ of pointed homotopy types instead of $U(k)$, with a corresponding k-linearization functor L_{pt} that is well-behaved with regards to ring extensions. For $X_* \in sU(k)^{\cdot}$ a simplicial object in $U(k)^{\cdot}$, one defines its homology by $\mathrm{LH}_{\cdot}(X_*) = L_{pt}(X_*)$ and one defines $H_i(X_*) := \pi_i(L_{pt}(X_*)) = H_i(LH_{\cdot}(X_*))$ something that Grothendieck regarded as a much better way to define a notion of weak equivalence than the one introduced above: $X_* \to X'_*$ is a weak equivalence if the total homology functor $LH_{\cdot}$ transforms this map into a quasi-isomorphism. At some point later though Grothendieck introduced a Lie functor $Lie : U(k)^{\cdot} \to Ab_k, X = W(M) \mapsto M$ with the usual homotopy groups $\pi_i(X_*) = \pi_i(X_*(k))$ satisfying $\pi_i(X_*) \simeq \pi_i(Lie(X_*))$ which he defined as the natural definition of homotopy invariance of X_*, hence a notion of weak equivalence as inducing an isomorphism for π_i invariants, i.e. quasi-isomorphisms for the corresponding Lie chain complexes. Even though this is yet another notion of weak equivalence which he considered as a cornerstone of a theory of schematic homotopy types, he will admit later that a notion of weak equivalence is not agreed upon. Thus the very definition of schematic homotopy type is not settled.

Regarding homotopy groups, them being k-modules will be dropped quite fast by Grothendieck, but one thing he will keep though is that higher homotopy groups π_n for $n > 1$ are endowed with an action of π_1, hinting at the fact that possibly homotopy groups themselves may have to be upgraded to something more general. This is also apparent in the fact that since the beginning of his work on the schematization problem, he wanted to use Postnikov devissage to define schematic homotopy types, something he will find not to be too useful, but not completely abandon, which he admitted later since it was constantly on his mind, and at times lead him astray. This also points to the fact that homotopy groups carry an additional structure. It needs to be mentioned as well that Grothendieck at some point considered π_1 to be a group object in $U(k)$.

From this short synopsis one gets the flavor of what Grothendieck had in mind regarding schematic homotopy type, even though the very definition of weak equivalence is something that is elusive, and one cannot help but notice

how homotopy groups themselves should probably be generalized.

To talk about homotopy type one needs to have a model category in mind, and speaking of spaces, we are looking for a homotopy category of spaces. For X such a space speaking of schematic homotopy type over k a ground ring means, from our perspective, putting X and k on a same footing for them to be comparable, hence first explaining how k could be seen as an object of a given homotopy category of spaces. This is fairly immediate: we have a fully faithful functor, the Yoneda embedding:

$$\begin{aligned} CAlg &\to \mathrm{Top} \\ k &\mapsto \mathrm{Spec}\, k \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

X being given, and looking for a notion of homotopy type over k, we consider functors $\mathrm{Spec}\, R \to X$ in Top for $R \in k\text{-Mod} = Ab_k$ as providing such a notion. Just focusing on a definition of homotopy group at this point, one can define:

$$\pi_n(\mathrm{Spec}\, R \xrightarrow{f} X) = f_*\pi_n(\mathrm{Spec}\, R)$$

In a first part of this work, we will derive a few properties of such homotopy groups. In a second part, we will generalize this notion quite a bit. Starting from homotopy groups being a presheaf of sets on k-CAlg, for k fixed, one defines $\pi_n^k(R) = \pi_n(\mathrm{Spec}\, R)$. If we want this presheaf to be representable, we are looking for some k-module R_0 so that $\pi_n^k = \mathrm{Hom}_{k\text{-CAlg}}(-, R_0) = \mathrm{Hom}_{k\text{-Aff}}(\mathrm{Spec}\, R_0, -)$. Written differently:

$$[S^n, \mathrm{Spec}\, R] = \mathrm{Hom}_{k\text{-Aff}}(\mathrm{Spec}\, R_0, \mathrm{Spec}\, R)$$

so we are looking for an object R_0, that perhaps should be graded, regarded as an object of a homotopy category, that would make this relation hold. One can immediately think of spectra with $\mathrm{Spec}\, R_0$ the sphere spectrum $\Sigma^\infty S^0 = \mathbb{S}$, and $\mathrm{Spec}\, R$ being an E_∞-ring. Thus π_n should be replaced by $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{Comm(Sp^\Sigma)}(\mathbb{S}, -) = \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{S\text{-Aff}}(-, \mathrm{Spec}\, \mathbb{S})$ where S-Aff $= (Comm(Sp^\Sigma))^{\mathrm{op}}$. Instead of topological spaces, we will consider simplicial sets K and their symmetric suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty K = X$. Morphisms of spectra $R \to X$ correspond to morphisms $\mathrm{Spec}\, R \leftarrow \mathrm{Spec}\, X$ in the opposite category, hence the notion corresponding to homotopy groups in a spectral "schematization" of homotopy types would be provided by:

$$\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{S\text{-Aff}_{\mathrm{Spec}\, X/}}(-, \mathrm{Spec}\, \mathbb{S}) \tag{2}$$

2 Part I: Algebraic Topology

As pointed out in the introduction, for X a given topological space, k a commutative ring, to talk about the homotopy type of X over k one would want to put X and k on a same footing to be able to define invariants of one object relative to the other. Thus it is natural to consider morphisms $\operatorname{Spec} R \to X$ for $R \in k\text{-Mod}$. The image of that morphism tells us what is X relative to R. In doing so we implicitly regard X as a locally ringed space with a structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$ with global sections $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \Gamma_X$. A morphism $\operatorname{Spec} R \to X$ is regarded as an object of $\mathrm{Top}_{/X}$, and we generalize homotopy groups of spaces to homotopy groups of morphisms of spaces as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i : \mathrm{Top} &\to \mathrm{Set}^{\mathrm{Top}/\cdot} \\ X &\mapsto \pi_i(X) := \pi_{iX} \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{iX} : \mathrm{Top}_{/X} &\to \mathrm{Set} \\ (Y \xrightarrow{f} X) &\mapsto \pi_{iX}(Y \xrightarrow{f} X) = f_* \pi_i(Y) \end{aligned}$$

We will drop the subscript X in what follows. Observe that the classical homotopy group is recovered as $\pi_i(X \xrightarrow{id} X) = id_* \pi_i(X) = \pi_i(X)$, where $X \xrightarrow{id} X$ is the terminal object in $\mathrm{Top}_{/X}$, so the classical picture can be seen as being embedded in this more general formalism of dealing with objects in comma categories of Top. Observe that one may develop this formalism with objects in comma categories only by letting Y in $\pi_n(Y \xrightarrow{f} X) = f_* \pi_n(Y)$ where Y be an object in a comma category, where the classical case would be recovered by considering $Y = Y$. Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_n(A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} X) &= \pi_n(A \xrightarrow{g \circ f} X) \\ &= (g \circ f)_* \pi_n(A) \\ &= g_* \circ f_* \pi_n(A) \\ &= g_* \pi_n(A \xrightarrow{f} B) \end{aligned}$$

so we can very well see this last expression as $\pi_n((A \xrightarrow{f} B) \xrightarrow{g} X)$.

We will be interested in the affine part of this functor, which we denote with the same letter:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{iX} : k\text{-Aff}_{/X} &\to \text{Set}\\ (\text{Spec}\, R \xrightarrow{f} X) &\mapsto \pi_i(\text{Spec}\, R \xrightarrow{f} X) = f_*\pi_i(\text{Spec}\, R)\end{aligned}$$

where we have slightly abused notations by writing $\text{Top}_{/X}|_{k\text{-Aff}} = k\text{-Aff}_{/X}$. Now recall that for any locally ringed space $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and any ring R we have:

$$\text{Hom}_{\ell RgSp^{\text{op}}}(\text{Spec}\, R, (X, \mathcal{O}_X)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_{Rg}(R, \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X))$$

and using the notation $\Gamma_X = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ we have:

$$k\text{-Aff}_{/X} = (k\text{-CAlg}_{\Gamma_X/})^{\text{op}}$$

hence we consider the affine version of homotopy groups given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_i : \text{Top} &\to \text{Set}^{(k\text{-CAlg}_{\Gamma/})^{op}}\\ X &\mapsto \pi_{iX}\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{iX} : k\text{-CAlg}^{\text{op}}_{\Gamma_X/} &\to \text{Set}\\ (R \leftarrow \Gamma_X) &\mapsto \pi_{iX}(R) = \pi_i(\text{Spec}\, R \xrightarrow{f} X) = f_*\pi_i(\text{Spec}\, R)\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

We will refer to π_{iX} as the i-th homotopy group of X, it is a presheaf of groups for $i \geq 1$ and of abelian groups for $i \geq 2$, by its definition. We now go over a few properties of these homotopy groups, using mainly [M] an elementary resource as a guide. In terms of loop spaces, one can write:

$$\pi_n(A \xrightarrow{f} X) = f_*\pi_n(A) = f_*\pi_{n-1}\Omega A = \cdots = f_*\pi_0(\Omega^n A)$$

For the purposes of having long exact sequences of homotopy groups, we consider, for $A \xrightarrow{f} X$, the following homotopy fiber ([M]):

$$Ff = A \times_f PX = \{(a, \xi) \mid f(a) = \xi(1)\} \subset A \times PX$$

with $PX = F(I, X)$, I based at 0, so Ff consists of paths $\gamma : * \to f(A) \subset X$, i.e. $Ff = P(X; *, f(A))$, the space of paths in X starting at the fixed point

$*$ and ending in $f(A)$. We have a natural projection $\pi : Ff \to X, (x, \chi) \mapsto x$. We also have an inclusion $\iota : \Omega X \to Ff$ specified by $\iota(\xi) = (*, \xi)$, $* \in A$, since $\Omega X \subset PX$. This gets us a fiber sequence generated by f as given in [M]:

$$\cdots \to \Omega^2 A \xrightarrow{\Omega^2 f} \Omega^2 X \xrightarrow{-\Omega\iota} \Omega Ff \xrightarrow{-\Omega\pi} \Omega A \xrightarrow{-\Omega f} \Omega X \xrightarrow{\iota} Ff \xrightarrow{\pi} A \xrightarrow{f} X$$

where as defined in [M], $(-\Omega f)(\eta)(t) = (f \circ \eta)(1-t)$ for $\eta \in \Omega A$. From there we get a long exact sequence of pointed sets for any based space Z:

$$\cdots \to [Z, \Omega Ff] \to [Z, \Omega A] \to [Z, \Omega X] \to [Z, Ff] \to [Z, A] \to [Z, X]$$

Then we let $Z = S^n$ and $[S^n, -] = \pi_n$ to arrive at:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \pi_n\Omega Ff & \longrightarrow & \pi_n\Omega A & \longrightarrow & \pi_n\Omega X & \longrightarrow & \pi_n Ff & \longrightarrow & \pi_n A & \longrightarrow & \pi_n X \\
 & & \| & & \| & & \| & & \| & & & & \\
 & & \pi_{n+1}Ff & & \pi_{n+1}A & & \pi_{n+1}X & & \pi_n P(X; *, f(A)) & & & & \\
 & & \| & & & & & & \| & & & & \\
 & & \pi_{n+1}P(X; *, f(A)) & & & & & & \pi_{n+1}(X, f(A)) & & & & \\
 & & \| & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & \pi_{n+2}(X, f(A)) & & & & & & & & & &
\end{array}$$

in simplified form:

$$\cdots \to \pi_n A \xrightarrow{f_*} \pi_n X \to \pi_n(X, fA) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{n-1}A \to \cdots \to \pi_0 A \to \pi_0 X$$

Coming back to the definition of homotopy groups of objects in comma categories, $\pi_n(A \xrightarrow{f} X) = f_*\pi_n A$ is the image of $\pi_n A \xrightarrow{f_*} \pi_n X$, so $\pi_n A \twoheadrightarrow \pi_n(A \xrightarrow{f} X)$, and because we have a long exact sequence, it follows that:

$$\pi_n A / \partial\pi_{n+1}(X, fA) \cong \pi_n(A \xrightarrow{f} X)$$

We would like now to answer the question as to whether a map $A \xrightarrow{\tau} B$ induces a map $\pi_n(A \xrightarrow{f} X) \to \pi_n(B \xrightarrow{g} X)$. In order to do so we have to define

morphisms of objects of $\mathrm{Top}_{/X}$. For later purposes we consider the following general case: for two objects $A \xrightarrow{f} X$ and $B \xrightarrow{g} Y$, we define morphisms between those objects as being given by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\tau} & B \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ fA & \xrightarrow[\tau_{fg}]{} & gB \\ {\scriptstyle incl}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle incl} \\ X & \xrightarrow[h]{} & Y \end{array} \tag{5}$$

In such a manner that $h|_{fA} = \tau_{fg}$ and $\tau_{fg} \circ f = g \circ \tau$. Thus (h, τ) satisfying the above conditions defines a morphism between $A \to X$ and $B \to Y$. We now show this induces a map $\pi_n(A \xrightarrow{f} X) \xrightarrow{\tau_*} \pi_n(B \xrightarrow{g} Y)$. In a first time by commutativity of (5), we have:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} [S^n, A] & \xrightarrow{f_*} & [S^n, fA] \subset \pi_n X & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_{fg*}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_*} \\ & & [S^n, \tau_{fg}(fA)] & & \\ & & \| & & \\ [S^n, \tau A] & \longrightarrow & [S^n, g\tau A] & & \\ \cap & & \cap & & \\ [S^n, B] & \xrightarrow[g_*]{} & [S^n, gB] \subset \pi_n Y & & \end{array}$$

giving us a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_{n+1}(X, fA) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \pi_n A & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \pi_n X \\ & & {\scriptstyle \tau_*}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_*} \\ \pi_{n+1}(Y, gB) & \xrightarrow[\partial]{} & \pi_n B & \xrightarrow[g_*]{} & \pi_n Y \end{array}$$

from which we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial\pi_{n+1}(X, fA) = \mathrm{Ker} f_* \subset \mathrm{Ker}\ \tau_{fg*} \circ f_* &= \mathrm{Ker} g_* \circ \tau_* \\ &= \{u \in \pi_n A,\ \tau_* u \in \mathrm{Ker} g_*\} \\ &= \{u \in \pi_n A,\ \tau_* u \in \partial\pi_{n+1}(Y, gB)\} \\ &= \tau_*^{-1}\partial\pi_{n+1}(Y, gB) := H\end{aligned}$$

or equivalently, $\partial\pi_{n+1}(X, fA) \subset H$. Now we have a map:

$$\pi_n A \to \pi_n B \twoheadrightarrow \pi_n B/\partial\pi_{n+1}(Y, gB)$$

and $H = \tau_*^{-1}\partial\pi_{n+1}(Y, gB) \lhd \pi_n A$, so there is a map:

$$\pi_n A/H \to \pi_n B/\partial\pi_{n+1}(Y, gB)$$

and since we have shown $\partial\pi_{n+1}(X, fA) \subset H$, it follows we also have a map:

$$\pi_n A/\partial\pi_{n+1}(X, fA) \to \pi_n A/H$$

and by composition we get a map:

$$\pi_n A/\partial\pi_{n+1}(X, fA) \to \pi_n B/\partial\pi_{n+1}(Y, gB)$$

that is, a morphism (h, τ) from $A \xrightarrow{f} X$ to $B \xrightarrow{g} Y$ induces a map $\pi_n(A \xrightarrow{f} X) \to \pi_n(B \xrightarrow{g} Y)$. Finally letting X = Y, with $h = id$, we have π_n as a covariant functor on $\mathrm{Top}_{/X}$, hence a covariant restriction on k-$\mathrm{Aff}_{/X}$, or equivalently a presheaf on k-$\mathrm{CAlg}_{\Gamma_X/}$, hence what we defined as π_{iX} in (4) is a presheaf of sets on k-$\mathrm{CAlg}_{\Gamma_X/}$. In the second part of this paper, we will see that the appropriate generalization of π_{iX} to schematic homotopy types will be a stack.

Lemma 2.1. If X is contractible, for any $A \xrightarrow{f} X$, then $\pi_n(A \xrightarrow{f} X) = 0$.

Proof. $\pi_n(X) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$, and $\pi_n(A \xrightarrow{f} X) = f_*\pi_n A \subset \pi_n X$ so that $\pi_n(A \xrightarrow{f} X) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. □

Lemma 2.2. If X is discrete, for any $A \xrightarrow{f} X$, $\pi_n(A \xrightarrow{f} X) = 0$.

Proof. X being discrete, $\pi_n X = 0$, the rest of the proof is identical to that of the previous lemma. □

Lemma 2.3. $\pi_n((A \xrightarrow{f} X) \times (B \xrightarrow{g} Y)) \cong \pi_n(A \xrightarrow{f} X) \times \pi_n(B \xrightarrow{g} Y)$.

Proof. It suffices to write:

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_n((A \xrightarrow{f} X) \times (B \xrightarrow{g} Y)) &= \pi_n(A \times B \xrightarrow{f \times g} X \times Y) \\
&= (f \times g)_* \pi_n(A \times B) \\
&\cong (f \times g)_* \pi_n A \times \pi_n B \\
&= f_* \times g_* \pi_n A \times \pi_n B \\
&= f_* \pi_n A \times g_* \pi_n B \\
&= \pi_n(A \xrightarrow{f} X) \times \pi_n(B \xrightarrow{g} Y)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.4. $\pi_n(A \xrightarrow{f} X)$ does not depend on the basepoint.

Proof. For classical homotopy groups, this is proved in [M] and is stated as follows: if $f : (X, A) \to (Y, B)$ is a map of pairs, $\alpha : I \to A$ a path from a to a' in A, then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_n(X, A, a) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \pi_n(Y, B, f(a)) \\
\cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\
\pi_n(X, A, a') & \xrightarrow[f_*]{} & \pi_n(Y, B, f(a'))
\end{array} \tag{6}$$

In our setting this would read as follows: for a map $(A \xrightarrow{f} X) \xrightarrow{(h,\tau)} (B \xrightarrow{g} Y)$, $\alpha : I \to A$ a path from a to a' in A, we prove the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_n(A \xrightarrow{f} X, a) & \xrightarrow{(h,\tau)_*} & \pi(B \xrightarrow{g} Y, \tau(a)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\pi_n(A \xrightarrow{f} X, a') & \xrightarrow[(h,\tau)_*]{} & \pi_n(B \xrightarrow{g} Y, \tau(a'))
\end{array}$$

which can be rewritten in the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
f_* \pi_n(A, a) & \longrightarrow & g_* \pi_n(B, \tau(a)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
f_* \pi_n(A, a') & \longrightarrow & g_* \pi_n(B, \tau(a'))
\end{array}$$

This is what we prove. In a first time observe that by definition of maps between objects in comma categories as we have defined them, we have:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\tau} & B \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ fA & \xrightarrow[\tau_{fg}]{} & gB \end{array}$$

from which it follows that:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_n(A,a) & \xrightarrow{\tau_*} & \pi_n(B,\tau a) \\ {\scriptstyle f_*}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g_*} \\ \pi_n(fA,f(a)) & \xrightarrow[\tau_{fg*}]{} & \pi_n(gB,g\tau a) \\ & & \| \\ & & \pi_n(gB,\tau_{fg}fa) \end{array} \tag{7}$$

It follows that with this commutative diagram along with (6), we have:



Now the left, right, and center squares commute by (6), and the top and bottom squares commute by (7), making the outside square commute, which

means exactly:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_*\pi_n(A,a) & \longrightarrow & g_*\pi_n(B,h(a)) \\ \cong\downarrow & & \downarrow\cong \\ f_*\pi_n(A,a') & \longrightarrow & g_*\pi_n(B,h(a')) \end{array}$$

which completes the proof. □

We consider now the following behavior under colimits as covered in [M]: if $X_i \hookrightarrow X_{i+1}$ are inclusions of spaces with colimit X, then the natural map $\operatorname{colim}_i \pi_n(X_i) \to \pi_n X$ is an isomorphism for each n. In our setting if $X_i \hookrightarrow X_{i+1}$ are inclusions, so are $h_i : X_i \hookrightarrow X$. Consider:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_i & \xrightarrow{\tau_i} & A \\ {\scriptstyle f_i}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ f_iA_i & \xrightarrow[\tau_{f_if}]{} & fA \\ {\scriptstyle incl}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle incl} \\ X_i & \xrightarrow[h_i]{} & X \end{array}$$

Since $h_i|_{f_i(A_i)} = \tau_{f_if}$, this latter is an inclusion as well. We ask that $A_i \hookrightarrow A_{i+1}$ be inclusions as well so that τ_i itself is an inclusion. Hence we are looking at inclusions $(A_i \xrightarrow{f_i} X_i) \hookrightarrow (A_{i+1} \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} X_{i+1})$, with $\operatorname{colim}_i(A_i \xrightarrow{f_i} X_i) = A \xrightarrow{f} X$. We have:

Lemma 2.5. If $A \xrightarrow{f} X = \operatorname{colim}_n(A_n \xrightarrow{f_n} X_n)$, then the natural map:

$$\operatorname*{colim}_n \pi_n(A_n \xrightarrow{f_n} X_n) \to \pi_n(A \xrightarrow{f} X)$$

is an isomorphism for all n.

Proof. The proof uses exactly the same argument as in the proof of the classical result proved in [M], that is a map $S^n \to (A \xrightarrow{f} X)$ has image in $A_j \to X_k$, and taking $l = \max\{j,k\}$, this gives us a map $S^n \to A_l \xrightarrow{f_l} X_l$, so we have a map $\pi_n(A \xrightarrow{f} X) \to \operatorname{colim}_n \pi_n(A_n \xrightarrow{f_n} X_n)$, but we already had a unique map $\operatorname{colim}_n \pi_n(A_n \xrightarrow{f_n} X_n) \to \pi_n(A \xrightarrow{f} X)$, so we have the desired isomorphism, and this for all n. □

We also have a generalization of the classical Freudenthal suspension theorem: recall from [M] for example that for a based space X, we have the suspension homomorphism $\Sigma : \pi_n X \to \pi_{n+1}(\Sigma X)$ defined by $\Sigma f = f \wedge id : S^{n+1} \cong S^n \wedge S^1 \to X \wedge S^1 = \Sigma X$ giving rise to the following result: if X is $(n-1)$-connected and non-degenerately based, then $\Sigma : \pi_q(X) \to \pi_{q+1} X$ is a bijection for $q < 2n-1$ and a surjection for $q = 2n-1$. We prove:

Theorem 2.6. For X, A both $(n-1)$-connected, $n \geq 1$, non-degenerately based spaces, we have:

$$\Sigma : \pi_q(A \xrightarrow{f} X) \to \pi_{q+1}(\Sigma A \xrightarrow{f \wedge id} \Sigma X)$$

is a bijection for $q < 2n-1$ and a surjection for $q = 2n-1$.

Proof. The question is whether we can have a bottom horizontal map closing the diagram below into a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Sigma : \pi_n A & \longrightarrow & \pi_{n+1}\Sigma A \\
S^n \xrightarrow{\gamma} A & \longmapsto & S^n \wedge S^1 \xrightarrow{\gamma \wedge id} A \wedge S^1 \\
\Big\downarrow f_* & & \Big\downarrow (f \wedge id)_* \\
f_*\pi_n A & & (f \wedge id)_* \pi_{n+1}\Sigma A
\end{array}$$

Consider $\gamma \in \pi_n A$. We have:

$$\Sigma : (S^n \xrightarrow{\gamma} A \xrightarrow{f} fA) \mapsto (S^n \wedge S^1 \xrightarrow{\gamma \wedge id} A \wedge S^1 \xrightarrow{f \wedge S^1} fA \wedge S^1)$$

can be interpreted as being $\Sigma f \gamma$ or $(f \wedge id)_* \Sigma \gamma$. This shows that we have a

commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_n A & \xrightarrow{\Sigma} & \pi_{n+1}\Sigma A \\
\Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle f_*} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle (f\wedge id)_*} \\
f_*\pi_n A & \dashrightarrow & (f\wedge id)_*\pi_{n+1}\Sigma A \\
\cap & & \cap \\
\pi_n X & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\Sigma} & \pi_{n+1}\Sigma X
\end{array}$$

Because the bottom horizontal map is the suspension map on classical homotopy groups for which we have the Freudenthal suspension theorem, and by virtue of our commutative diagram, it follows that:

$$\Sigma : f_*\pi_q A \to (f\wedge id)_*\pi_{q+1}\Sigma A$$

satisfies the same result as its classical counterpart, that is:

$$\Sigma : \pi_q(A \xrightarrow{f} X) \to \pi_{q+1}(\Sigma A \xrightarrow{f\wedge id} \Sigma X)$$

is a bijection for $q < 2n-1$ and a surjection for $q = 2n-1$. □

Finally we discuss base change: we use the following notations:

$$\pi^k_{nX} : k\text{-CAlg}^{\mathrm{op}}_{\Gamma_X/} \to \mathrm{Set}$$

$$\{k \to R \leftarrow \Gamma_X\}^{\mathrm{op}} = \{\mathrm{Spec}\, k \leftarrow \mathrm{Spec}\, R \to X\} \mapsto \pi_n(\mathrm{Spec}\, R \to X)$$

Suppose we have a morphism of rings $b : k \to k'$. This induces $b^* : \mathrm{Spec}\, k \leftarrow \mathrm{Spec}\, k'$. Hence given $\{\mathrm{Spec}\, k' \leftarrow \mathrm{Spec}\, R \to X\}$ we get:



Hence b^* induces a map:

$$(b^*)_* : \text{k'-}CAlg^{\text{op}}_{\Gamma_X/} \to k\text{-CAlg}^{\text{op}}_{\Gamma_X/}$$

and finally by composition with π^k_{nX} we get a map:

$$\pi^k_{nX} \circ (b^*)_* : \text{k'-}CAlg^{\text{op}}_{\Gamma_X/} \to k\text{-CAlg}^{\text{op}}_{\Gamma_X/} \xrightarrow{\pi^k_{nX}} \text{Set}$$

that is, a map $((b^*)_*)^* : \pi^k_{nX} \to \pi^{k'}_{nX}$ induced by a base change morphism $b : k \to k'$.

3 Part II: Algebraic Geometry

Homotopy groups of schematic homotopy types are endowed with some additional structure; initially they are asked to be k-modules, something that will be dropped later in [G]. π_1 is asked to operate on the π_i's for $i > 1$. π_1 itself is regarded as a group object in $U(k)$. Thus it is natural to look for a generalization of homotopy groups to a setting where a putative schematization would naturally take place.

We start by just considering $\pi_n : k\text{-CAlg}^{\text{op}} \to \text{Set}, R \mapsto \pi_n(\text{Spec}\, R)$. If we want π_n itself to be a sheaf on k-CAlg, we make it representable by an object R_0 of k-CAlg, hence:

$$\pi_n(\text{Spec}\, R) = \text{Hom}_{k\text{-CAlg}}(R, R_0) = \text{Hom}_{k\text{-Aff}}(\text{Spec}\, R_0, \text{Spec}\, R)$$

or in other terms:

$$[S^n, \text{Spec}\, R] = \text{Hom}_{k\text{-Aff}}(\text{Spec}\, R_0, \text{Spec}\, R)$$

This points to the fact that the Hom on the right hand side is already taken in a homotopy category to be defined, and that probably $\text{Spec}\, R_0$ should be regarded as a semi-simplicial object, or even a spectrum. We are looking for such an object that would play the role of spheres in a homotopy category. We consider the category of spectra Sp^Σ as covered in [HSS] and [S]. We choose to represent spheres by the sphere spectrum $\Sigma^\infty S^0 = \mathbb{S}$ and spaces to be generalized to the setting of (topological) symmetric spectra via:

$$X \simeq S^0 \wedge X \xrightarrow{\Sigma} S^1 \wedge X \to \cdots$$

i.e. $X \mapsto \Sigma^\infty X$, and to be general we let K be a simplicial set and work with $\Sigma^\infty K$, the symmetric suspension spectrum of K. Therefore what used to be considered as $\operatorname{Spec} R$ in classical homotopy theory should be replaced by $R \in Sp^\Sigma$. Considering the sphere spectrum is suitable since it is the initial object in the ∞-category of ring spectra. Sp^Σ is endowed with the positive stable model structure of [S]. The model category Sp^Σ is a symmetric monoidal model category with respect to the symmetric smash product of spectra. Commutative monoids in Sp^Σ are called highly structured ring spectra, or commutative symmetric ring spectra, or even brave new rings ([TV]), and their model category is denoted $\operatorname{Comm}(Sp^\Sigma) = S$-Alg in [TV]. On S-Alg we still have the positive stable model structure. Its opposite model category will be denoted S-Aff. Hence we are looking at:

$$\mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{S\text{-Alg}}(\mathbb{S}, -) = \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{S\text{-Aff}}(-, \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{S})$$

the question is whether that is a sheaf on S-Aff. $\mathbb{R}$Hom is denoted $\operatorname{Ho}(h)$ in [TV2], [TV3], [TV4], with $h_X = \operatorname{Hom}(-, X)$ the classical Yoneda embedding. It is proved there that $\operatorname{Ho}(h)$ and $\mathbb{R}\underline{h}$ are canonically isomorphic. $\underline{h}$ is defined as follows on a model category M: $\underline{h} : M \to \operatorname{sPr}(M)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{h}_x : M^{\mathrm{op}} &\to \mathrm{sSet} \\ y &\mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_M(\Gamma(y), x) = \operatorname{Map}_M(y, x) \end{aligned}$$

for a fixed cosimplicial resolution functor Γ whose dependency will drop in $\mathbb{R}\underline{h}$. This is what is referred to as the homotopical Yoneda embedding in [TV2], [TV3], [TV4]. The reader is referred to those references for more details. Now $\mathbb{R}\underline{h}_X$ is a stack on S-Aff for any X if we pick a subcanonical model topology. In [TV] it is proven that a certain kind of model topologies called standard topologies are subcanonical. Briefly, standard model topologies on S-Aff are extensions of usual topologies on affine schemes. Let τ be one such topology, be it the Zariski, Nisnevich, etale, or ff topology. By definition ([TV]), a family of morphisms of commutative S-algebras $\{X \to Y_i\}$ is a standard τ-covering if the induced family of morphisms of schemes $\{\operatorname{Spec}\pi_0 Y_i \to \operatorname{Spec}\pi_0 X\}$ is a τ-covering of affine schemes, and if for all i the natural morphism of $\pi_0(Y_i)$-modules $\pi_*(X) \otimes_{\pi_0(X)} \pi_0(Y_i) \to \pi_*(Y_i)$ is an isomorphism. Hence if S-Aff is endowed with one of those standard model topologies, which are proven in [TV] to be subcanonical, then $\mathbb{R}\underline{h}_X$ is a stack on S-Aff for all X, and $\mathbb{R}\underline{h}$ being isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ho}(h)$, it follows that:

$$\mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{S\text{-Alg}}(\mathbb{S}, -) = \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{S\text{-Aff}}(-, \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{S})$$

is a stack, taking $X = \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{S}$. Note that the left hand side is our generalization to the category of spectra of the classical homotopy groups of spaces. It is understood throughout that sets are viewed as constant simplicial sets. Finally a model topology on S-Aff induces a model topology on $S\text{-Aff}_{X/}$, and it being a subsite of S-Aff for the induced topology, we have a map $S\text{-Aff}^{\sim,\tau} \to S\text{-Aff}^{\sim,\tau}_{X/}$ that maps $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{S\text{-Aff}}(-, \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{S})$ to $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{S\text{-Aff}_{\operatorname{Spec} X/}}(-, \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{S})$, so this latter still is a stack. This we claim is the generalization, for a fixed spectrum $X = \Sigma^\infty K$, of the notion of schematization of homotopy type and accompanying homotopy groups. The schematization is essentially a spectralization first, followed by considering morphisms into a suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty K$ as in the first part of this paper. Morphisms from ring spectra R into X in S-Alg correspond to morphisms under $\operatorname{Spec} X$ in S-Aff, and homotopy groups taken altogether are given by the stack $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{S\text{-Aff}_{\operatorname{Spec} X/}}(-, \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{S})$, the sphere spectrum being a generalization to spectra of spheres in Top as far as generalizing classical homotopy groups is concerned.

We can derive a few properties of $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{Sp^\Sigma}(\mathbb{S}, -)$ that generalize classical results. By design, the suspension functor $- \wedge S^1$ is an isomorphism in the stable homotopy category ([Vo], [HSS]), so:

$$\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{Sp^\Sigma}(\mathbb{S}, \Sigma^\infty K) \cong \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{Sp^\Sigma}(\Sigma\mathbb{S}, \Sigma(\Sigma^\infty K))$$

as a generalization of having the Freudenthal suspension isomorphism $\pi_n X \to \pi_{n+1}(\Sigma X)$. Now at the level of the model category Sp^Σ only, from [HSS], if $\gamma = Card(\mathbb{S})$, α is a γ-filtered ordinal, $X : \alpha \to Sp^\Sigma$ is a α-indexed diagram of symmetric spectra, then:

$$\operatorname{colim} \mathrm{Hom}_{Sp^\Sigma}(\mathbb{S}, X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_{Sp^\Sigma}(\mathbb{S}, \operatorname{colim} X)$$

which generalizes $\operatorname{colim} \pi_n(X_i) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_n(\operatorname{colim} X_i)$. Finally using the same result, since for symmetric spectra X and Y we have $X \wedge Y = X \otimes_{\mathbb{S}} Y$, with this latter the colimit of the diagram:

$$\Gamma : \; X \otimes \mathbb{S} \otimes Y \underset{1\otimes m}{\overset{m\otimes 1}{\rightrightarrows}} X \otimes Y$$

then we also have:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{Sp^\Sigma}(\mathbb{S}, X \wedge Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{colim} \mathrm{Hom}_{Sp^\Sigma}(\mathbb{S}, \Gamma)$$

where the colimit on the right hand side is the colimit of:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{Sp^\Sigma}(\mathbb{S}, X \otimes \mathbb{S} \otimes Y) \underset{(1\otimes m)_*}{\overset{(m\otimes 1)_*}{\rightrightarrows}} \mathrm{Hom}_{Sp^\Sigma}(\mathbb{S}, X \otimes Y)$$

that is, $\mathrm{Hom}_{Sp^\Sigma}(\mathbb{S}, X) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{Sp^\Sigma}(\mathbb{S}, Y)$, a generalization of $\pi_n(A \times B) \cong \pi_n A \times \pi_n B$.

References

[G] A. Grothendieck, *Pursuing Stacks*, unpublished manuscript.

[HSS] M. Hovey, B. Shipley, J. Smith, *Symmetric Spectra*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (2000), no.1, 149-208.

[M] P. May, *A Concise course in Algebraic Topology*, (Chicago Lectures in Mathematics), University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1999.

[S] B. Shipley, *A Convenient Model Category for Commutative Ring Spectra*, (Homotopy Theory: Relations with Algebraic Geometry, Group Cohomology and Algebraic K-Theory), 473-483, Contemp. Math., **346**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.

[T1] B.Toen, *Homotopical and Higher Categorical Structures in Algebraic Geometry*, habilitation thesis, arxiv:math/0312262 [math.AG].

[T2] B.Toen, *Champs Affines*, Selecta Math. (N.S) **12** (2006), no.1, 39-135.

[T3] B.Toen, *Dualite de Tannaka Superieure: Structures Monoidales*, preprint available at www.math.univ-toulouse.fr/ btoen/tan.pdf.

[TV] B.Toen, G.Vezzosi, *Brave New Algebraic Geometry and Global Derived Moduli Spaces of Ring SPectra*, (Elliptic Cohomology) 325-359, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **342**, Cambridge Univ.Press, Cambridge, 2007.

[TV2] B.Toen, G. Vezzosi, *Algebraic Geometry over Model Categories: A general approach to derived algebraic geometry*, arXiv:math.AG/0110109.

[TV3] B.Toen, G.Vezzosi, *Homotopical Algebraic Geometry I: Topos Theory*, Adv. Math. **193** (2005), no.2, 257-372.

[TV4] B.Toen, G.Vezzosi, *Homotopical Algebraic Geometry II: Geometric Stacks and Applications*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **193** (2008), no.902, x+224p.

[Vo] R. Vogt, *Boardman's Stable Homotopy Category*, Aarhus Univ. Lect. Notes Vol. **21**, Aarhus University, 1970.